```
1
                    BEFORE THE
              ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
 2
   IN THE MATTER OF:
   ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION ON ITS
 4 OWN MOTION,
 5
                                          No. 11-0498
            vs.
 6 COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY,
7 Investigation of Commonwealth
   Edison Company's Supply Rate Design )
 8 and Related Matters.
9
                      Chicago, Illinois
                      December 19, 2011
10
       Met, pursuant to notice, at 1:30 p.m.
11
   BEFORE:
12
       MS. CLAUDIA SAINSOT, Administrative Law Judge
13
   APPEARANCES:
14
       SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP, by
15
       MR. G. DARRYL REED
       One South Dearborn Street
16
       Chicago, Illinois 60603
            appearing for Commonwealth Edison Company;
17
       MR. EUGENE BERNSTEIN
       10 South Dearborn, Suite 4900
18
       Chicago, Illinois 60603
19
            appearing for Commonwealth Edison Company;
20
       ROONEY RIPPIE & RATNASWAMY, LLP, by
       MR. JOHN E. ROONEY
21
       350 West Hubbard Street, Suite 430
       Chicago, Illinois 60654
22
            appearing for Commonwealth Edison Company;
```

```
1 APPEARANCES (continued):
       MR. JOHN FEELEY
2
       MR. JOHN L. SAGONE
 3
       160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800
       Chicago, Illinois 60601
 4
            appearing for Illinois Commerce Commission;
 5
       MS. CHRISTIE HICKS
       309 West Washington Street, Suite 800
 6
       Chicago, Illinois 60606
            appearing for Citizens Utility Board;
7
       MS. CATHY C. YU
 8
       MR. MICHAEL R. BOROVIK (via telephone)
       100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor
 9
       Chicago, Illinois 60601
            appearing for People of the State
            of Illinois;
10
       MR. RONALD D. JOLLY
11
       30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 900
12
       Chicago, Illinois 60602
            appearing for the City of Chicago;
13
       LAW OFFICE OF EVE MORAN, by
14
       MS. EVE MORAN
       128 South Halsted Street
15
       Chicago, Illinois 60661
            appearing for Illinois Competitive
16
            Energy Association.
17
18
19
20
21
   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
   Rocio Garcia, CSR
22 License No. 084-004387
```

1	<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>					
2				Re-	Re-	Ву
3	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	direct	cross	Examiner
4	NONE					
5						
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15		<u>E</u> <u>X</u>	<u>H</u> <u>I</u> <u>B</u>	<u>I</u> <u>T</u> <u>S</u>		
16						
17	Number	For I	dentif	ication	- -	In Evidence
18	NONE					
19						
20						
21						
22						

- 1
- 2 JUDGE SAINSOT: By the authority vested in me by
- 3 the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 No. 11-0498. It is the matter of the Illinois
- 5 Commerce Commission on its own motion versus
- 6 Commonwealth Edison Company and it concerns an
- 7 investigation of Commonwealth Edison Company's
- 8 supply rate design and related matters.
- 9 Will the parties identify themselves for
- 10 the record.
- 11 MR. REED: G. Darryl Reed of the law firm of
- 12 Sidley Austin, LLP, One South Dearborn, Chicago
- 13 60603, (312) 853-7000 on behalf of Commonwealth
- 14 Edison.
- 15 Also Eugene Bernstein, Commonwealth
- 16 Edison Company, 10 South Dearborn, Suite 4900,
- 17 Chicago, Illinois 60603, (312) 394-5000.
- 18 MR. ROONEY: Also on behalf of Commonwealth
- 19 Edison Company, John Rooney of the firm Rooney
- 20 Rippie & Ratnaswamy, LLP, 350 West Hubbard Street,
- 21 Suite 430, Chicago, Illinois 60654.
- 22 MR. FEELEY: Representing staff of the Illinois

- 1 Commerce Commission, John Feeley and John Sagone,
- 2 Office of General Counsel, 160 North LaSalle Street,
- 3 Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 4 MS. HICKS: Representing the Citizens Utility
- 5 Board, Christie Hicks, 309 West Washington, Suite
- 6 800, Chicago, Illinois 60606.
- 7 MS. YU: Representing the People of Illinois,
- 8 Cathy Yu and Michael Borovik, 100 West Randolph
- 9 Street, Chicago, Illinois 606- --
- 10 A VOICE: It's Tom. I can't hear that. I'm
- 11 sorry.
- 12 MS. YU: This is Cathy Yu representing Cathy
- 13 Yu -- representing the People of the State of
- 14 Illinois, Cathy Yu and Michael Borovik, 100 West
- 15 Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- 16 MR. JOLLY: On behalf of the City of Chicago,
- 17 Ronald D. Jolly, 30 North LaSalle Street, 1400,
- 18 Chicago, Illinois 60602.
- 19 MS. MORAN: Appearing for the Illinois
- 20 Competitive Energy Association, Eve Moran, Law
- 21 Office of Eve Moran, 128 South Halsted Street,
- 22 Chicago 60661.

- 1 JUDGE SAINSOT: I just have a quick question
- 2 because I'm looking at the initiating order. Are we
- 3 going to file pleadings or have we filed pleadings
- 4 in this docket?
- 5 MR. REED: Commonwealth Edison filed testimony in
- 6 this proceeding on the --
- 7 MR. JOLLY: 15th.
- 8 MR. REED: -- 15th of December, the direct
- 9 testimony of Lawrence Alongi along with associated
- 10 exhibits and the filing that will be made subsequent
- 11 to that will be verified comments to be filed on the
- 12 dates mentioned by Mr. Feeley.
- 13 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. So we're all doing
- 14 verified comments.
- Okay. The parties have agreed to conduct
- 16 a status hearing on February 21st, 2012 at 1:00
- 17 o'clock p.m. and we will either have a comment
- 18 schedule of the staff and intervenor filing that
- 19 their comments on January 19th and the third round
- 20 on February 9th or it will be on the first -- or the
- 21 second round of comments will be on January 24th and
- 22 the third round will be on February 14th.

- 1 Did I get that right?
- 2 MR. FEELEY: Yes.
- 3 JUDGE SAINSOT: Okay. And then the parties will
- 4 let me know via a group e-mail as to which of these
- 5 two schedules is proposed but either way we will
- 6 have the status hearing on January 21st at
- 7 1:00 o'clock -- or February.
- 8 MR. BOROVIK: Your Honor, February?
- 9 JUDGE SAINSOT: February. Sorry. I'm in denial
- 10 about the 15th.
- 11 Anything I should add?
- 12 MR. REED: Oh --
- MR. FEELEY: Just that I guess the comments could
- 14 be either faxed or they will be verified or both.
- MR. REED: With respect to the stipulation, your
- 16 Honor, it is our intent to get that on file this
- 17 afternoon and we will differ to you procedurally as
- 18 to you how you want to handle that once it has been
- 19 filed with the assumption that there no outstanding
- 20 issues vis-a-vis the delivery services matter so
- 21 there will not be any subsequent pleadings filed by
- 22 Commonwealth Edison staff or any of the parties

- 1 relative to that issue. At least that's my
- 2 understanding.
- 3 JUDGE SAINSOT: Yeah, I suppose the Commission
- 4 could issue an interim order based on the
- 5 stipulation.
- 6 Okay. Anything else?
- 7 Okay. We'll have --
- 8 MR. BOROVIK: Hang on.
- 9 JUDGE SAINSOT: Yes, Mr. Borovik.
- 10 MR. BOROVIK: I said no. Sorry.
- 11 JUDGE SAINSOT: That's okay.
- Okay. Have a nice afternoon everybody.
- 13 MR. REED: Thank you.
- 14 MR. JOLLY: Thank you, your Honor.
- MR. BOROVIK: Thank you your Honor.
- 16 (Whereupon, the above-entitled
- 17 matter was continued to
- 18 February 21, 2012, at 1:00 p.m.)
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22